1 (Case called)

2.3

MR. NESSIM: Good afternoon, your Honor, Daniel Nessim, Elinor Tarlow, and Rebekah Donaleski for the government.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MR. DeMARCO: Mark DeMarco Steven Lynch as standby counsel for Salvador Diaz. Good afternoon, your Honor.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

Good afternoon, Mr. Diaz.

THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon.

THE COURT: After the last conference you may recall that I asked Mr. Diaz does he still want to proceed pro se, and he made it very clear that he did not want to proceed pro se, but that he felt that he could not proceed with Mr. DeMarco as his attorney, and, therefore, he was proceeding as we had been proceeding, with him representing himself.

As a courtesy to Mr. Diaz I reached out to a new attorney to see whether she would be willing to take on the case and could do it going to trial on Monday. That's Susan Kellman, who is here. Ms. Kellman has agreed to do that.

Mr. Diaz, here is the situation. I've got you an attorney who does not have the baggage that Mr. DeMarco has. Let me be very clear that I don't think Mr. DeMarco had a conflict. I think he was providing you competent reputation. We are going to have to agree to disagree on that.

The point is, I've got a new attorney for you if you want to accept a new attorney to represent you during this matter.

What would you like?

THE DEFENDANT: Can I have some time, a couple of hours, with her, with my new attorney, just to discuss so I can get a feeling -- basically, I'm trying to find out if I'm going to have the same problems that I had with my previous attorneys.

THE COURT: Some of the problems you will not have are the following. The Court has ruled on the issue of whether you can put at issue the validity of your underlying court marshal conviction. That is law of the case. We are not revisiting that. Whether Ms. Kellman represents you, whether Ms. Kellman is standby counsel for you, or whatever, whatever situation we have, that issue is not being revisited.

So the real issue is just the representation of you for purposes of the trial. Given the charges that you are facing, that defense is not going to be presented in this courtroom.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand your position, but I actually came prepared to rebut what you said before. If you say you are not going to give me --

THE COURT: Mr. Diaz, as I told you last time, I am

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

25

1 THE COURT: Mr. DeMarco and Mr. Lynch, with the thanks 2 of the Court, you are relieved. 3 MR. DeMARCO: Thank you, your Honor. 4 MR. LYNCH: Thank you, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Ms. Kellman, I am going to ask you to file a notice of appearance in this case. You have asked to have 6 7 your mentee named as well. I'm happy to do that. 8 MS. KELLMAN: I can file a separate notice, your 9 Honor. 10 THE COURT: Yes, you should file a separate notice. 11 Just to catch you up on where we are, I will dutifully amend the voir dire questions to reflect the fact that Mr. Diaz 12 13 is being represented, so he is no longer proceeding pro se, so 14 that will get adjusted accordingly. Obviously, Ms. Kellman. 15 I'm sorry. what is your name again? 16 MR. SANTIAGO: Carlos Santiago. 17 THE COURT: Your name will be on the voir dire 18 questions as well. 19 I think everything is on ECF. If not, we will send 20 you the voir dire questions. 21 MS. KELLMAN: If I can't find something, I will check 22 in with your clerk or the government. 2.3 THE COURT: We should have a charge out hopefully 24 tomorrow. The plan is to do a charge conference at the end of

the first day of trial, which will be Monday.

25

1 Mr. Diaz, you understand that now that you are 2 represented, you are represented. You can't keep going back 3 and forth between being represented and proceeding pro se. You understand that? 4 THE DEFENDANT: I understand. I understood that in 5 6 the beginning, your Honor. I think I showed sufficient 7 evidence to show that I was not represented -- was not afforded --8 9 THE COURT: We are not going to argue that. 10 MS. KELLMAN: We have dealt with it. 11 THE COURT: It's done. The water is under the bridge or over the dam. 12 13 One question that I had asked at the final pretrial 14 conference that I want to confirm, because you sort of all 15 looked like you weren't sure what the answer was. 16 Has a plea offer been made to Mr. Diaz? 17 MR. NESSIM: A plea offer has not been made. Mr. Diaz 18 has received a Pimentel letter. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Diaz is aware that he can plead 20 quilty. There is no offer on the table, but he understands 21 what the guidelines are if he chooses to plead guilty, correct? 22 MR. NESSIM: Yes, your Honor. 2.3 THE COURT: Mr. Diaz, have you received a letter from 24 the government that lays out what the guideline calculation

would be if you elected to plead guilty?

25

1	THE DEFENDANT: No, I have not.
2	THE COURT: We will make sure that you get that.
3	Could you please make sure to send it to Ms. Kellman
4	so that Mr. Diaz has it.
5	MR. NESSIM: Yes, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Is there anything else that I need to do
7	before we adjourn to meet again at 9:45 on Monday morning?
8	MS. KELLMAN: Your Honor, we at this stage have no
9	discovery or 3500 material, but my understanding is that the
10	government is prepared to provide it to us forthwith.
11	THE COURT: Correct.
12	Mr. Diaz has it all, but they will provide it to you
13	as well.
14	MS. KELLMAN: Thank you. He didn't bring it.
15	THE COURT: Anything further from the government?
16	MR. NESSIM: No. Thank you, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Anything further, Ms. Kellman?
18	MS. KELLMAN: No, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Ms. Kellman, thank you for stepping in on
20	this short notice for a trial on Monday.
21	MS. KELLMAN: My pleasure, Judge. Glad I can help.
22	(Adjourned)
23	
24	
25	
	ı